

Definition

A **character** is a monoid homomorphism from a monoid G to the units of a field K^* .

- ▶ We will be principally working with finite fields, and our co-domain is \mathbb{C}^* .
- ▶ Fields have two obvious group structures we can use:
 - Additive
 - Multiplicative
- ▶ For this discussion, we are mainly concerned with additive characters.

Additive Characters

We can represent all additive characters of the form $\mathbb{F}_q \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ nicely.

Definition

Let \mathbb{F}_q be a finite field of $q = p^m$ elements (where p is prime). The (absolute) **trace** of $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q$ is $\text{Tr}(\alpha) = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \alpha^{p^j}$.

Theorem (Weber 1882)

All additive characters of this type are of the form $\psi_\gamma(\alpha) = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{p} \text{Tr}(\gamma\alpha)}$ for some $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_q$.

Definition

A **Weil Sum** is any sum of the form

$$W_{f,\gamma} = \sum_{c \in \mathbb{F}_q} \psi_\gamma(f(c))$$

where $f(x)$ is a polynomial over \mathbb{F}_q and ψ_γ is an additive character.

Weil determined bounds:

Theorem (Weil 1948)

If $f(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ is of degree $d > 1$ with $p \nmid d$ and ψ_γ is a non-trivial additive character of \mathbb{F}_q , then $|W_{f,\gamma}| \leq (d-1)\sqrt{q}$.

Weil Image Sums

- ▶ We adopt the notation $V_f = f(\mathbb{F}_q)$
- ▶ We examine incomplete Weil sums on the image set

$$S_{f,\gamma} = \sum_{\alpha \in V_f} \psi_\gamma(\alpha)$$

- ▶ To remove the dependence on the choice of character, we look at the maximal such sum (over non-trivial additive characters)

$$|S_f| = \max_{\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} |S_{f,\gamma}|$$

Weil Image Sum Example

Notes

Example

- ▶ In \mathbb{F}_4 , we'll represent field elements as polynomials over $\mathbb{F}_2[t]$ mod the irreducible $t^2 + t + 1$.
- ▶ Examine $f(x) = x^3 + x$:

α	$f(\alpha)$	$\text{Tr}(f(\alpha))$	$\text{Tr}(tf(\alpha))$	$\text{Tr}((t+1)f(\alpha))$
0	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	0	0
t	$t+1$	1	0	1
$t+1$	t	1	1	0

- ▶ $W_{f,1} = e^{\pi i 0} + e^{\pi i 0} + e^{\pi i 1} + e^{\pi i 1} = 0$
- ▶ $\#(V_f) = 3$
- ▶ $S_{f,1} = e^{\pi i 0} + e^{\pi i 1} + e^{\pi i 1} = -1$
- ▶ $|S_f| = 1$ (this is maximal)

Notes

Conjecture

Conjecture (Wan)

For all polynomials of degree d , with $p \nmid d$:

1. There is a real number c_d such that $|S_f| \leq c_d \sqrt{q}$ for all q
2. $c_d \leq c \sqrt{d}$
3. $c \leq 1$

Some notes about conjecture (1):

- ▶ (1) is true when $q \gg d$ as a consequence of Cohen / Chebotarev / Lenstra-Wan (unpublished).
- ▶ If $d = o(q)$, then (1) isn't very interesting.

Better information about $|S_f|$ or $\#(V_f)$:

- ▶ Better bounds
- ▶ An algorithm for computing or estimating
- ▶ Results that significantly refine the complexity class of these problems

Literature Survey Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 (Condensed) Literature Survey
 - Cardinality of Image Sets
 - p -adic Point Counting
- 3 Preliminary Results
 - Weil Image Sum Bounds
 - Image Set Cardinality
- 4 Conclusion (and Beyond)

Subsection 1

Cardinality of Image Sets

Cardinality of Image Sets

$$\left\lceil \frac{q}{d} \right\rceil \leq \#(V_f) \leq q$$

- ▶ These bounds are sharp!
- ▶ If $\#(V_f) = \left\lceil \frac{q}{d} \right\rceil$, then f is a polynomial with a **minimal value set**.
- ▶ If $\#(V_f) = q$, then f is a **permutation polynomial**.

A vital companion function:

$$f^*(u, v) = \frac{f(u) - f(v)}{u - v}$$

- ▶ If $f^*(u, v)$ is absolutely irreducible then on **average** $\#(V_f) \sim \mu_d q + O_d(1)$ with μ_d is the series $1 - e^{-1}$ truncated at d terms. [Uchiyama 1955]

$$\#(V_f) = \mu q + O_d(\sqrt{q})$$

First asymptotic results [Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer, 1959]

- ▶ μ is dependent on some Galois groups induced by f

$$G(f) = \text{Gal}(f(x) - t/\mathbb{F}_q(t)) \text{ and } G^+(f) = \text{Gal}(f(x) - t/\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q(t))$$

where $G^+(f)$ is viewed as a subgroup of $G(f)$.

- ▶ If $G^+(f) \cong S_d$ (f is a “general polynomial”) then $\mu = \mu_d$.
- ▶ Otherwise μ depends only on $G(f)$, $G^+(f)$ and d .

Cohen gave a way to explicitly calculate μ [Cohen, 1970]

- ▶ Let K be the splitting field for $f(x) - t$ over $\mathbb{F}_q(t)$
- ▶ Denote $k' = K \cap \bar{\mathbb{F}}_q$
- ▶ $G^*(f) = \{\sigma \in G(f) \mid K_\sigma \cap k' = \mathbb{F}_q\}$
- ▶ $G_1(f) = \{\sigma \in G(f) \mid \sigma \text{ fixes at least one point}\}$
- ▶ $G_1^*(f) = G_1(f) \cap G^*(f)$
- ▶ We then have $\mu = \frac{\#(G_1^*)}{\#(G^*)}$.
- ▶ This provides a wonderful combinatorial explanation of μ_d (proportion of non-derangements!)

Exact Results

Exact values for $\#(V_f)$ are known for very few classes of polynomials:

- ▶ Permutation polynomials (and exceptional polynomials)
- ▶ Polynomials with a minimal (or very small) value set
- ▶ Other

Permutation Polynomials

Notes

The class of polynomials where $\#(V_f) = q$

1. These polynomials are uncommon ($\sim e^{-q}$ for large q)
2. Dickson found all of the permutation polynomials $d \leq 6$ [Dickson 1896]
3. There is a ZPP algorithm to test to see if f is a permutation polynomial. [Ma and von zur Gathen, 1995]
4. There is a deterministic algorithm to see if f is a permutation polynomial that runs slightly sub-linear in q . [Shparlinski, 1992]

 UNIVERSITY of CALIFORNIA · IRVINE

Exceptional Polynomials

Notes

Hayes harmonized these apparently disparate results by casting this into an Algo-Geometric setting [Hayes 1967]

Definition

$f(X) \in \mathbb{F}_q[X]$ is an **exceptional polynomial** if when $f^*(X, Y)$ is factored into irreducibles over $\mathbb{F}_q[X, Y]$ and all of these irreducible factors are not absolutely irreducible (that is, each irreducible factor cannot be irreducible over $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q[X, Y]$.)

- ▶ All exceptional polynomials are permutation polynomials [Cohen 1970], [Wan, 1993]
- ▶ If $d > 1$, $p \nmid d$ and $q > d^4$, then all permutation polynomials are exceptional polynomials. (by Lang-Weil Bound)
- ▶ f is an exceptional polynomial if and only if $\mu = 1$.

 UNIVERSITY of CALIFORNIA · IRVINE

Subsection 2

 p -adic Point Counting

The Zeta Function on Algebraic Sets

Consider the simultaneous zeros of a set of polynomials $f_1, \dots, f_s \in \mathbb{F}_q[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ over $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q$; call this variety X .

► Let $X(\mathbb{F}_{q^k}) = X \cap \mathbb{F}_{q^k}$.

Definition

The zeta function of the algebraic set X is defined to be

$$Z(X) = Z(X, T) = \exp \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\#(X(\mathbb{F}_{q^k}))}{k} T^k \right)$$

Subsection 1

Weil Image Sum Bounds

Too Many Polynomials on the Dance Floor I

- ▶ Start with an arbitrary degree d polynomial
 $f(x) = a_d x^d + \cdots + a_0, a_i \in \mathbb{F}_q.$
- ▶ $f(x)$ and $f(x - \lambda)$ have the same image set.
 - Setting $\lambda = \frac{a_{d-1}}{da_d}$ removes x^{d-1} term.
 - Thus, WLOG we can examine $f(x) = a_d x^d + a_{d-2} x^{d-2} + \cdots + a_0.$
- ▶ We can do better: $f(x) = x^d + a_{d-2} x^{d-2} + \cdots + a_1 x.$

Too Many Polynomials on the Dance Floor II

Let I_f be some minimal preimage set that produces V_f .

$$\begin{aligned} |S_f| &= \left| \sum_{\beta \in I_f} \psi_\gamma(f(\beta)) \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{\beta \in I_f} \psi_\gamma(a_d \beta^d + a_{d-2} \beta^{d-2} + \dots + a_1 \beta + a_0) \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{\beta \in I_f} \psi_\gamma(a_d \beta^d + a_{d-2} \beta^{d-2} + \dots + a_1 \beta) \psi_\gamma(a_0) \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{\beta \in I_f} \psi_{\gamma a_d} \left(\beta^d + \frac{a_{d-2}}{a_d} \beta^{d-2} + \dots + \frac{a_1}{a_d} \beta \right) \right| \end{aligned}$$

 UNIVERSITY of CALIFORNIA · IRVINE

Notes

Bounding $|S_f|$

We introduce two expressions to help us discuss bounds:

$$\Phi_d = \max_{\substack{f \in \mathbb{F}_q[x] \\ \deg f = d}} \frac{|S_f|}{\sqrt{q}}$$

- ▶ Examining Φ_d gives us insight into the value c_d : For all q , $c_d \geq \Phi_d$.
- ▶ A related question: for a given q , what is the maximum $|S_f|$ possible?

$$|S_{A_q}| = \max_{A \subset \mathbb{F}_q} \left| \sum_{\alpha \in A} \psi_1(\alpha) \right|$$

 UNIVERSITY of CALIFORNIA · IRVINE

Notes

A Word of Warning

- ▶ At least one polynomial produces A_q as an image set.
- ▶ This polynomial does not necessarily have degree relatively prime to p .
- ▶ Not every image set can be obtained as the image of a polynomial whose degree is relatively prime to p .

Notes

 UNIVERSITY of CALIFORNIA · IRVINE

An Example of Warning

Example

- ▶ In \mathbb{F}_4 again.
- ▶ Examine $f(x) = x^2 + x$ (p -linear!):

α	$f(\alpha)$
0	0
1	0
t	1
$t + 1$	1

- ▶ Clearly no polynomial with degree 0 or 1 will have this image.
- ▶ Idea: We don't expect that degree 3 polynomials would be linear.
- ▶ Actual Proof: Just evaluate all degree 3 polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_4[x]$ and note that none of them have this image.

Notes

Bounding Theorem Proof Outline I

Notes

Theorem

If $q = p^m$ then

$$|S_{A_q}| = \begin{cases} 2^{m-1} & p = 2 \\ \frac{p^{m-1}}{2} \csc\left(\frac{\pi}{2p}\right) & p > 2 \end{cases}$$

The “interesting part” of the proof:

- ▶ Trace is an \mathbb{F}_p -linear transform, and surjects onto \mathbb{F}_p .
- ▶ $\#(\ker \text{Tr}) = p^{m-1}$
- ▶ Thus each element is hit p^{m-1} times.
- ▶ To find A_q , find A_p and then choose all the elements in the same equivalence classes.

This reduces the question to the case where $q = p$. The rest is “proof by calculus”.

 UNIVERSITY of CALIFORNIA · IRVINE

Bounding Theorem Proof Outline II

Notes

- ▶ We are now summing distinct p th roots of unity, seeking the largest modulus possible.
- ▶ A proposed maximal sum must include all the roots of unity with angle $\leq \pi/2$ to the sum.
- ▶ $p = 2$ case is trivial. Assume p is odd.
- ▶ First stab: All of the p th roots of unity in quadrants I and IV?

$$\sum_{j=-\lfloor p/4 \rfloor}^{\lfloor p/4 \rfloor} e^{\frac{2\pi i j}{p}} = \frac{1}{2} \csc\left(\frac{\pi}{2p}\right)$$

- ▶ This is maximal, but obviously not unique.

 UNIVERSITY of CALIFORNIA · IRVINE

Big-O and Soft-O Notation

Notes

- ▶ We have two eventually positive real valued functions
 $A, B : \mathbb{N}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$. Take \mathbf{x} as an n -tuple, with $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$
- ▶ We'll write $|\mathbf{x}|_{\min} = \min_i x_i$.

Definition

1. $A(\mathbf{x}) = O(B(\mathbf{x}))$ if there exists a positive real constant C and an integer N so that if $|\mathbf{x}|_{\min} > N$ then $A(\mathbf{x}) \leq CB(\mathbf{x})$.
2. $A(\mathbf{x}) = \tilde{O}(B(\mathbf{x}))$ if there exists a positive real constant C' so that $A(\mathbf{x}) = O(B(\mathbf{x}) \log^{C'}(B(\mathbf{x}) + 3))$

 UNIVERSITY of CALIFORNIA · IRVINE

Naïve Algorithms

Notes

How to calculate $\#(V_f)$?

- ▶ Evaluate f at each point in \mathbb{F}_q . Cost: $\tilde{O}(qd)$ bit operations.
- ▶ For each $a \in \mathbb{F}_q$, $a \in V_f \Leftrightarrow \deg \gcd(f(x) - a, X^q - X) > 0$. Cost: $\tilde{O}(qd)$ bit operations.

 UNIVERSITY of CALIFORNIA · IRVINE

Another connection between #(V_f) and an algo-geometric structure:

Theorem

If $f \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ of positive degree d , then

$$\#(V_f) = \sum_{i=1}^d (-1)^{i-1} N_i \sigma_i \left(1, \frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{d}\right)$$

where $N_k = \#\left(\{(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \mathbb{F}_q^k \mid f(x_1) = \dots = f(x_k)\}\right)$ and σ_i is the i th elementary symmetric function on d elements.

Proof Outline I

- ▶ $V_{f,i} = \{x \in V_f \mid \#(f^{-1}(x)) = i\}$ with $1 \leq i \leq d$ forms a partition of V_f .
- ▶ Let $m_i = \#(V_{f,i})$. Thus $m_1 + \dots + m_d = \#(V_f)$. Introduce a new value $\xi = -\#(V_f)$. We then have:

$$m_1 + \dots + m_d + \xi = 0 \tag{1}$$

- ▶ Define the space $\tilde{N}_k = \{(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \mathbb{F}_q^k \mid f(x_1) = \dots = f(x_k)\}$. Then $N_k = \#(\tilde{N}_k)$.
- ▶ By a counting argument,

$$m_1 + 2^k m_2 + \dots + d^k m_d = N_k \tag{2}$$

Arrange this into a system of equations:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & \dots & d & 0 \\ 1 & 2^2 & \dots & d^2 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 2^d & \dots & d^d & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} m_1 \\ m_2 \\ m_3 \\ \vdots \\ \xi \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ N_1 \\ N_2 \\ \vdots \\ N_d \end{pmatrix}$$

Solve for ξ using Cramer's rule. There are some unfortunate details. See the paper. :-)

Variations on a Theme of Matrices

You can just as reasonably solve for m_j through the same process:

Proposition

$$m_j = \binom{d}{j} \frac{1}{j} \sum_{i=1}^d (-1)^{j+i} N_i \sigma_{i-1} \left(1, \dots, \frac{1}{j-1}, \frac{1}{j+1}, \dots, \frac{1}{d} \right)$$

Getting to “There” From “Here”

Notes

Define:

$$F_k(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) = z_1 (f(x_1) - f(x_2)) + \cdots + z_{k-1} (f(x_1) - f(x_k))$$

- ▶ If $\gamma \in \tilde{N}_k$ then $F_k(\gamma, \mathbf{z}) = 0$.
- ▶ If $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_q^k \setminus \tilde{N}_k$ then the solutions to $F_k(\gamma, \mathbf{z})$ form a $(k - 2)$ -dimensional subspace of \mathbb{F}_q^{k-1} .
- ▶ If we denote the number of solutions to $F_k(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z})$ as $\#(F_k)$, then we have

$$\#(F_k) = q^{k-1} N_k + q^{k-2} (q^k - N_k)$$

- ▶ So, we can solve:

$$N_k = \frac{\#(F_k) - q^{2k-2}}{q^{k-2}(q - 1)}$$

- ▶ And that’s it!

 UNIVERSITY of CALIFORNIA · IRVINE

Conclusion Outline

Notes

- 1 Introduction
- 2 (Condensed) Literature Survey
 - Cardinality of Image Sets
 - p -adic Point Counting
- 3 Preliminary Results
 - Weil Image Sum Bounds
 - Image Set Cardinality
- 4 Conclusion (and Beyond)

 UNIVERSITY of CALIFORNIA · IRVINE

