

Counting Value Sets

Algorithm and Complexity

Qi Cheng¹, **Joshua E. Hill**², Daqing Wan²

¹School of Computer Science, The University of Oklahoma

²Department of Mathematics, University of California, Irvine

ANTS X

July 13, 2012



- 1 Introduction and History
- 2 Our Results
- 3 Conclusion



Introduction Outline

- 1 Introduction and History
 - Asymptotic Results
 - Exact Results
 - Prior Complexity Results
- 2 Our Results
- 3 Conclusion



The Problem

- ▶ Let $f \in \mathbb{F}_{p^m}[x]$, of degree $d > 0$.
- ▶ Denote the value set $V_f = \{f(\alpha) \mid \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{p^m}\}$.
- ▶ We are interested in the cardinality of V_f , which we denote $\#(V_f)$.



Cardinality of Image Sets

$$\left\lceil \frac{q}{d} \right\rceil \leq \#(V_f) \leq q$$

- ▶ These bounds are sharp!
- ▶ If $\#(V_f) = \lceil \frac{q}{d} \rceil$, then f is a polynomial with a **minimal value set**.
- ▶ If $\#(V_f) = q$, then f is a **permutation polynomial**.



Subsection 1

Asymptotic Results



The Shape of the Problem (Average Results)

A vital companion function:

$$f^*(u, v) = \frac{f(u) - f(v)}{u - v}$$

- ▶ If $f^*(u, v)$ is absolutely irreducible $\#(V_f) > \frac{q}{2}$ for sufficiently large p [Uchiyama 1954]
- ▶ On **average** $\#(V_f) \sim \mu_d q + O_d(1)$ with μ_d is the series $1 - e^{-1}$ truncated at d terms. [Uchiyama 1955]



$$\#(V_f) = \mu q + O_d(\sqrt{q})$$

First asymptotic results [Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer, 1959]

- ▶ μ is dependent on some Galois groups induced by f . (more later)
- ▶ The case where f is a “general polynomial” then $\mu = \mu_d$.



Asymptotic Results II

Cohen gave a way to explicitly calculate μ [Cohen, 1970]

- ▶ Let K be the splitting field for $f(x) - t$ over $\mathbb{F}_q(t)$.
- ▶ Denote $k' = K \cap \bar{\mathbb{F}}_q$.
- ▶ $G^*(f) = \{\sigma \in G(f) \mid K_\sigma \cap k' = \mathbb{F}_q\}$.
- ▶ $G_1(f) = \{\sigma \in G(f) \mid \sigma \text{ fixes at least one point}\}$.
- ▶ $G_1^*(f) = G_1(f) \cap G^*(f)$.

$$\mu = \frac{\#(G_1^*)}{\#(G^*)}$$

- ▶ This provides a wonderful combinatorial explanation of μ_d (It is the proportion of non-derangements!)



Subsection 2

Exact Results



Exact values for $\#(V_f)$ are known for very few classes of polynomials:

1. Permutation polynomials (and exceptional polynomials)
2. Polynomials with a minimal (or very small) value set
3. Other



Permutation Polynomials

The class of polynomials where $\#(V_f) = q$

- ▶ These polynomials are uncommon (density $\sim e^{-q}$ for large q).
- ▶ Dickson found all of the permutation polynomials with $d \leq 6$.
[Dickson 1896]



Exceptional Polynomials

Hayes harmonized these apparently disparate results by casting this into an Algo-Geometric setting [Hayes 1967]

Definition

$f(X) \in \mathbb{F}_q[X]$ is an **exceptional polynomial** if, when $f^*(X, Y)$ is factored into irreducibles over $\mathbb{F}_q[X, Y]$, all of these irreducible factors are not absolutely irreducible (that is, each irreducible factor cannot be irreducible over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q[X, Y]$.)

- ▶ All exceptional polynomials are permutation polynomials. [Cohen 1970], [Wan, 1993]
- ▶ If $d > 1$, $p \nmid d$ and $q > d^4$, then all permutation polynomials are exceptional polynomials (by the Lang-Weil Bound).



Small Image Set Polynomials

- ▶ All polynomials with minimal value sets with $d \leq \sqrt{q}$ were characterized in [Carlitz, Lewis, Mills, Straus 1961, and Mills 1964].
- ▶ All polynomials with $d^4 < q$ and $\#(V_f) < 2q/d$ were characterized in [Gomez-Calderon, 1986].
- ▶ Polynomials whose minimal value sets form subfields of \mathbb{F}_q were characterized in [Borges-Conceição, 2012].



$\#(V_f)$ is known in a few other cases:

- ▶ The degree 0 and 1 cases are clear.
- ▶ The degree 2,3 cases are due to [Kantor 1915] and [Uchiyama 1955].
- ▶ For p -linear polynomials, $\#(V_f)$ is known due to linearity.
- ▶ Dickson Polynomials [Chou, Gomez-Calderon, Mullen 1988].
- ▶ $f(x) = x^k(1+x)^{2^m-1}$ in \mathbb{F}_{2^m} (for $k = \pm 1, \pm 2, 4$) and $f(x) = (x+1)^d + x^d + 1$ for particular values of d [Cusick 2005].



An Important Note

- ▶ These results may seem to suggest that V_f can only be of certain forms. This is completely false.
- ▶ Lagrange interpolation can be used to build a polynomial with any desired image set.
- ▶ The restrictions discussed tell us that the choice of degree is not independent of the size of the image set.



Subsection 3

Prior Complexity Results



One can view the problem of finding $\#(V_f)$ as being a generalization of the problem of determining if a polynomial, f , is a permutation polynomial. There are a few algorithms for this:

- ▶ A deterministic permutation polynomials test was provided in [Shparlinski, 1992] which runs in $\tilde{O}((dq)^{6/7})$.
- ▶ The connection between exceptional and permutation polynomials was used in [Ma, von zur Gathen, 1995] to provide a zero-error probabilistic polynomial-time (ZPP) algorithm running in $\tilde{O}(d \log q)$.
- ▶ An approach relying on the classification of exceptional polynomials (which in turn relies on the classification of finite simple groups) is developed in [Kayal, 2005], which provides a deterministic-polynomial-time test running in $(d \log q)^{O(1)}$.



How to calculate $\#(V_f)$?

- ▶ Evaluate f at each point in \mathbb{F}_q . Cost: $\tilde{O}(qd)$ bit operations.
- ▶ For each $a \in \mathbb{F}_q$, $a \in V_f \Leftrightarrow \deg \gcd(f(x) - a, X^q - X) > 0$. Cost: $\tilde{O}(qd)$ bit operations.



Results Outline

1 Introduction and History

2 Our Results

- Complexity
- Algorithm

3 Conclusion



Subsection 1

Complexity



When I Use a Word...

Are there polynomial-time algorithms for computing $\#(V_f)$? But *how* do we represent this polynomial?

A polynomial in:

- ▶ **dense representation** includes all coefficients up to the polynomial's degree (even those that are zero).
- ▶ **sparse representation** includes only the non-zero coefficients, along with the degree of the corresponding terms.
- ▶ **straight-line program** is defined recursively, as $x_1 = \alpha$, $x_2 = x$, and then $x_i = x_j \odot x_k$ where α is chosen so that $\mathbb{F}_q = \mathbb{F}_p[\alpha]$, $0 \leq j, k < i$, and \odot is $+$, $-$, \times .



Complexity Classes

Decision Problem Complexity

- ▶ **P** is the complexity class of all decision problems that can be solved in polynomial time.
- ▶ **NP** is the complexity class of decision problem whose positive solutions can be verified in polynomial time.

Counting Problem Complexity

- ▶ **#P** (read: “*sharp-P*”) is the set of counting problems whose corresponding decision problem is in NP.
- ▶ **#P-hard** is the computational class of counting problems that all #P problems can be reduced to using a polynomial-time counting reduction.



Theorem

The problem of counting the value set of a sparse polynomial over a finite field of characteristic $p = 2$ is #P-hard.

We show this by providing a polynomial-time reduction of a 3SAT formula with n variables and m clauses to a sparse polynomial over $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n+m}}$; the cardinality of the value set of this polynomial reveals the number of satisfying assignments of the 3SAT formula.



Theorem

If the polynomial over \mathbb{F}_p is given as a straight-line program, then the problem of counting the value set is #P-hard under RP-reduction.

We show this by providing a randomized-polynomial-time reduction of the counting subset sum problem (SSP) to counting the value set of a constructed polynomial as a straight-line program.



Subsection 2

Algorithm



#(V_f) and Point Counting

Another connection between #(V_f) and an algo-geometric structure:

Proposition

If $f \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ of positive degree d , then

$$\#(V_f) = \sum_{i=1}^d (-1)^{i-1} N_i \sigma_i \left(1, \frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{d} \right)$$

where $N_k = \# \left(\{ (x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \mathbb{F}_q^k \mid f(x_1) = \dots = f(x_k) \} \right)$ and σ_i is the i th elementary symmetric function on d elements.



Proof Outline I

- ▶ $V_{f,i} = \{x \in V_f \mid \#(f^{-1}(x)) = i\}$ with $1 \leq i \leq d$ forms a partition of V_f .
- ▶ Let $m_i = \#(V_{f,i})$. Thus $m_1 + \dots + m_d = \#(V_f)$. Introduce a new value $\xi = -\#(V_f)$. We then have:

$$m_1 + \dots + m_d + \xi = 0$$

- ▶ Define the space $\tilde{N}_k = \{(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \mathbb{F}_q^k \mid f(x_1) = \dots = f(x_k)\}$. Then $N_k = \#(\tilde{N}_k)$.
- ▶ By a counting argument,

$$m_1 + 2^k m_2 + \dots + d^k m_d = N_k$$



Arrange this into a system of equations:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & \cdots & d & 0 \\ 1 & 2^2 & \cdots & d^2 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 2^d & \cdots & d^d & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} m_1 \\ m_2 \\ m_3 \\ \vdots \\ \xi \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ N_1 \\ N_2 \\ \vdots \\ N_d \end{pmatrix}$$

Solve for ξ using Cramer's rule. (Warning: some determinant magic)



You can just as reasonably solve for m_j through the same process:

Proposition

$$m_j = \binom{d}{j} \frac{1}{j} \sum_{i=1}^d (-1)^{j+i} N_i \sigma_{i-1} \left(1, \dots, \frac{1}{j-1}, \frac{1}{j+1}, \dots, \frac{1}{d} \right)$$



- ▶ This equation is in terms of N_k , which we must calculate.
- ▶ \tilde{N}_k isn't of any particularly desirable form: in particular, we can't assume that it is non-singular projective or an abelian variety (if it were, faster algorithms would apply!)
- ▶ We'll proceed through trickery.



Point Counting Algorithm

Some notation first. If $f \in \mathbb{F}_q[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, let the variety X be the zeros of f over $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q$. Denote $X(\mathbb{F}_{q^k}) = X \cap \mathbb{F}_{q^k}$.

We'll need the point counting algorithm of Lauder and Wan [Lauder-Wan 2008]:

Lemma

If f has total degree d in n variables and $p = O((d \log q)^C)$ for some constant C , then $\#(X(\mathbb{F}_{q^k}))$ can be calculated in polynomial time.



Algorithm for finding $\#(V_f)$

Theorem

There is an explicit polynomial R and a deterministic algorithm which, for any $f \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ (with $q = p^m$, p a prime, f degree d), calculates $\#(V_f)$. This algorithm requires a number of bit operations bounded by $R(m^d d^d p^d)$.

More explicit performance: $\tilde{O}\left(2^{8d+1} m^{6d+4} d^{12d-1} p^{4d+2}\right)$ bit operations. In particular, for fixed d and suitably small p (i.e., $p = O((d \log q)^C)$ for some constant C), this is polynomial time (for dense representation of the polynomial).



Proof Outline

Define:

$$F_k(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) = z_1 (f(x_1) - f(x_2)) + \cdots + z_{k-1} (f(x_1) - f(x_k))$$

- ▶ If $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \in \tilde{N}_k$ then $F_k(\boldsymbol{\gamma}, \mathbf{z}) = 0$.
- ▶ If $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \in \mathbb{F}_q^k \setminus \tilde{N}_k$ then the solutions to $F_k(\boldsymbol{\gamma}, \mathbf{z})$ form a $(k-2)$ -dimensional subspace of \mathbb{F}_q^{k-1} .
- ▶ If we denote the number of solutions to $F_k(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z})$ as $\#(F_k)$, then we have

$$\#(F_k) = q^{k-1} N_k + q^{k-2} (q^k - N_k)$$

- ▶ So, we can solve:

$$N_k = \frac{\#(F_k) - q^{2k-2}}{q^{k-2}(q-1)}$$

- ▶ And that's it!



Conclusion Outline

- 1 Introduction and History
- 2 Our Results
- 3 Conclusion**



Summary

The problem of counting the value set of a polynomial:

- ▶ in **sparse representation** over \mathbb{F}_{2^k} is in #P-hard.
- ▶ as a **straight-line program** over \mathbb{F}_p is in #P-hard (under RP-reduction).
- ▶ in **dense representation** over \mathbb{F}_q is in P for fixed d and sufficiently small p .

Conjecture

The problem of counting the value set of a polynomial in dense representation over \mathbb{F}_q is in P for fixed d (for any p).



Thank You!

